

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/612,925

Applicant(s)

Cano et al.

Examiner

S. Devi, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1645



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) S. Devi (PTO)

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Thomas Blankinship

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 20, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic      b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes      e) ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Sample Statement for transfer of sequence listing.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Blankinship was informed that the most recent CRF submission in the application has been considered to be unreadable or defective by the Office for the third time and that Applicants should consider requesting for a transfer of the Sequence Listing from the parent application. He was informed that a copy of the sample statement for such a transfer would be sent to him by facsimile.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

S. Devi 20 Dec 02  
S. DEVI, PH.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1645

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/612,925

Applicant(s)  
Cano et al.

Examiner  
S. Devi, Ph.D.

Art Unit  
1645



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dr. Charles Lowe

(3) Thomas Blankinship

(2) S. Devi, Ph.D.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Mar 11, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic      b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes      e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:  
None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Blankinship was informed again that the instant application would go abandoned after 16 March 2003 due to the continued sequence non-compliance. He was informed again that Applicants' CRF submitted October 2002 is unreadable. He was reminded of the Examiner's telephonic communication of 20 December 2002 which was followed by a facsimile transmission of the 'Sample statement to request transfer of sequences'. Mr. Blankinship was reminded that if Applicants did not comply with the sequence rules as instructed during the telephonic communication of 20 December 2002, the application would go abandoned after 16 March 2003.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

S. 03/11/03  
S. DEVI, PH.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1645

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required